Lead RTN:	Related RTNs:	PRP:				
	Town:	Address:				
	Site use: ☐ Residential ☐ School ☐ Daycare ☐ Commercial ☐ Recreational/Open Space	OHM released: ☐ g ☐ chlorinated VOCs ☐			oil 🗆 TC	E
Date Rcvd:		other			<u> </u>	
Date Screened:	Area use: ☐ mixed ☐ rural ☐ commercial ☐ urban ☐ residential ☐ suburban ☐ vacant/future development ☐ other:	Source of OHM:				
Rcv'd by due date? ☐ Yes ☐ No	GW category: ☐ GW-1 ☐ GW-2 ☐ GW-3	Media impacted:				
SUBMITTAL TYPE(s):	Soil category:	☐ indoor air ☐ soil ☐ (☐ surface water ☐ drin	king w	ater	□ ecolo	gically
☐ IRA ☐ RAM ☐ Status Report	□ S-1 □ S-2 □ S-3	sensitive area (list)				
☐ Tier Classification ☐ Phase						
☐ Permanent Solution ☐ Temporary Solution ☐ Other						
	Based upon conditions at time of specified submitte	al				T _
I. CRA or PRA submittals			Yes NA	No	?	Page
1. Remedial additives injected within 100' of:	daycare residences schools, etc					
2. Remedial additives injected within 50' of a w						
	ors not evaluated as part of Risk Characterization					_
II. ROUTES OF EXPOSURE			Yes NA	No	?	Page
Applicable GW-2 standard exceeded with no soil gas/indoor air sampling						
2. CEP present and not mitigated						
3. Complete indoor air pathway						
Site contaminants impacting indoor air above	TVs and not mitigated					
Unstable NAPL still present and uncontrolled	at site					
6. Contaminated drinking water supply (public o	or private wells) as a result of site; GW-1 area					
7. IH condition exists in soil and not abated; Su	rficial soil contamination > S-1					
8 FPCs in S-1 soils exceed Method 1 Standar	de de la companya de					

					1
9. Confirmed contamination of surface water, sediments, wetlands, ACEC/sensitive habitat or within 50 feet of surface water					
	Yes				
III. TECHNICAL ADEQUACY		No	?		Page
	NA				
1. Incomplete definition of contamination in any media (including downgradient)					
2. EPC not properly calculated (maximum concentration, mean, 75%/10x, upper confidence limit)					
3. Method 3 Human Health: Non-Cancer Risks > HI of 1, ELCR > than 1x10 <sup>-5</sup>					
4. CSM not provided with documentation including sources, site history, hydrogeology, etc.					
5. VOCs in soil near building or hazmat/NAPL within 200 ft of surface water not assessed					
IV. Permanent Solution	Yes	No	? 1	NA	Page
1. Permanent Solution criteria are not met or not demonstrated at closure					
2. Site relies on implementation of: ☐ AEPMM ☐ AUL ☐ engineered barrier ☐ other					
3. Relies on conditions (gardening BMPs, anthropogenic bkgd, ROW, future bldg)					
4. Groundwater or Soil OHM EPCs > UCLs (not consistent with natural background)					
V. Temporary Solution	Yes	No	? 1	A	Page
1. All sources of OHM, non-stable NAPL: ☐ not eliminated ☐ not controlled ☐ not controlled to extent feasible					
2. Phases of dissolved OHM in groundwater or vapor phase OHM in vadose zone not stable or contracting					
3.No valid Tier Classification in effect at time of submittal and further response actions are planned					
4. Temporary Solution criteria are not met (Substantial Hazard exists, Phase II incomplete; Feasibility evaluation not valid					
If					

June 20, 2014 MCP – Draft L1 Screen

II. TECHNICAL ADEQUACY	Citation(s)					
A. Remedial Response Actions:	, ,	Yes	No	?	NA	Page
1. Documentation (BOL, HWM, etc.) of removal/treatment of contaminated soil was provided	40.0030					
2. Remediation waste properly managed (Air [95%], GW [permit], SW [NPDES])	40.0031-40.0049					
B. Source/Extent Investigations:		Yes	No	?	NA	Page
1. History of OHM use/storage/disposal at the site included	40.0405(1), 40.0835(4)(c)					
2. Potential site activities, uses, source(s) identified, characterized, or abated (septic leach field, floor drain, AST, etc.)	40.0923(2), 40.1004					
3. All relevant and appropriate media and associated migration pathways evaluated (soil, groundwater, surface water, air, sediment, food)	40.0904(2)(c)					
4. Potential or actual OHM analyzed for and/or evaluated (metals, VPH, VOCs, etc.)	40.0904(3)(a), 40.0926(1)					
5. Proper sample collection technique/preservation//holding times/surrogate recovery, etc.	40.0017(2-3), 40.1056(1)(j)					
C. Risk Characterization:		Yes	No	?	NA	Page
1. Correct risk characterization method used (relative to indoor air, surface water, sediment, etc.)	40.0941, 40.0942					
2. Background identified or characterized	40.0904(2)(b), 40.1020					
3. All receptors accounted for (human, environmental) or AUL applied	40.0920-40.0922					
4. Site activities and uses identified (current, future, any limitations that were assumed)	40.0923					
5. Exposure points identified (GW & soil for all RC Methods, other media for Methods 2 & 3)	40.0924					
6. All exposure pathways identified and evaluated (inhalation, ingestion, dermal, etc.)	40.0925					
7. EPC calculation(s)/equations provided (including spatial and/or temporal, etc.)	40.0926					
8. EPC properly calculated (maximum concentration, 75%/10x, upper confidence limit)	40.0926(3)(a),(b),(c)					
9. Soil/groundwater categories properly identified (including Aquifer Protection Districts)	40.0930					
10. Applicable soil and/or GW standards not exceeded (Method 1 or 2) or AUL applied	40.0973(7), 40.0988(2)					
11. Method 3 Environmental Risk Characterization – Stage 1 or 2 was completed, if applicable	40.0995					
12. Method 3 Human Health: Non-Cancer Risks < HI of 1, ELCR < than 1x10 <sup>-5</sup>	40.0993(6),(7)					

June 20, 2014 MCP – Draft L1 Screen

Level i reclinical Screening Form. FRA	, OKA, I IO					
III. Permanent or Temporary Solutions (General Provisions):	40.1003	Yes	No	?	NA	Page
Correct Solution was selected (either Permanent or Temporary Solution)	40.1003					
2. Location clearly and accurately identified (either Permanent or Temporary Solution)	40.1003(4)					
3. OHM Sources eliminated or controlled for Permanent Solution; eliminated or controlled to extent feasible if Temporary Solution	40.1003(5) (b), (c)					
4. Migration Control of dissolved OHM in groundwater or vapor-phase OHM in vadose zones: stable or contracting for Permanent Solution; stable, contracting, controlled or mitigated to the extent feasible for Temporary Solutions	40.1003(6)(a),(b)					
5. NAPL: Permanent Solution: NAPL adequately assessed and if necessary, remediated: Non-stable NAPL not present under current site condition and for foreseeable future; and all NAPL with micro-scale mobility is removed if and to the extent feasible based on CSM principles	40.1003(7)(a)					
6. NAPL: Temporary Solution: NAPL adequately assessed and if necessary, remediated: Non-stable NAPL and NAPL with micro-scale mobility is removed and/or controlled if to the extent feasible	40.1003(7)(b)					
7. Feasibility: evaluation of feasibility has been conducted using criteria from 310 CMR 40.0860	40.1003(8)					
8. Content of PTS Solution (complete, defensible, precise, accurate, complete) is included	40.1056. 40.1057					
A. Permanent Solutions with no conditions (requirements):		Yes	No	?	NA	Page
A condition of No Significant Risk exists or has been achieved	40.1040(1)(a)					
2. All sources of OHM have been eliminated or controlled	40.1040(1)(b)					
3. All plumes of dissolved OHM in groundwater and/or vapor-phase OHM in vadose zone and NAPL controlled as specified in 310 CMR 40.1003(6)(a)	40.1040(1)(c),(d)					
4. All Threats of Release have been eliminated	40.1040(1)(e)					
5. Level of OHM concentrations in the environment reduced to or as close to Background as feasible	40.1040(1)(F), 40.1020					
B. Permanent Solution with Conditions:		Yes	No	?	NA	Page
1. The level of OHM at the site <i>has not been</i> reduced to background unless such levels are consistent with anthropogenic background and do not exceed UCLs	40.1036(1)(a)					
2. Threats of Release Only: all TORs were eliminated, and a release of OHM has <i>not</i> occurred; OHM in soil that exceeds UCLs beneath an engineered barrier or > 15 ft BGS	40.1036(1)(b)					
3. Permanent Solution depends on Activity and Use Limitation (AUL)	40.1012(2)					
4. Permanent Solution depends on Active Exposure Pathway Mitigation Measures (AEPMM) Measures (AEPMM) and UCL Feasibilty Evaluation not valid	40.1036(2)(c)					
C. Temporary Solutions:		Yes	No	?	NA	Page
A condition of No Substantial Hazard exists	40.1050(1)(a), 40.1051(1, 2)					
2. ID, charactization, elimination, and control or mitigation of OHM release has been demonstrated	40.1050(1)(b), 40.1056(2)(d)					
3. Soil and/or groundwater concentrations exceed applicable or analogous standards or UCLs	40.1050(2)(a, b)					
4. Phase II and Phase III reports were submitted, or DPS Opinion was submitted	40.1050(3), 40.1050(4)(a)					
5. A plan with definitive and enterprising steps toward a Permanent Solution has been submitted	40.1051(3)(a), 40.1051(4)(a)					

6. Valid feasibility evaluation - Permanent Solution currently cannot be achieved.